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Abstract
In this paper we analyse the impact of a crisis in the economic evaluation of a road infrastructure. We consider the Douro Interior sub-concession, awarded by the Portuguese government to a private consortium in 2008, and concluded in 2012. The government decision was based on economic analysis made on 2008, which concluded that the economic benefits of the infrastructure would be larger than its economic costs. Today there are some doubts whether it was really worthwhile to build the infrastructure, but any analysis based on the current situation must take into account that Portugal underwent a severe crisis with significant impact on its macro-economic indicators. The exercise we undertake consists of analysing what would be changed in the 2008 analysis if it would have been possible to foresee the macro-economic changes in the Portuguese situation.

We conclude that the changes in the Portuguese macro-economic situation would be enough to justify the alteration of the recommendation produced by the economic evaluations. This highlights the importance of using methodologies that allow the incorporation of risk in the assessment of such projects, as well as the
explicit incorporation of the postponement option in the analysis. Additionally, it shows that some caution is necessary when performing ex-post analysis of economic studies: unforeseen changes in the macro-economic situation may be enough to alter the recommendation provided by such studies.
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1. **Introduction**

Over the last decades there has been a significant growth of the investment in road infrastructures in Portugal (Ferreira, 2013; Rosmaninho, 2010). Empirical studies show that such investment had positive impacts on employment, private investment and private output, and that it also contributed to increased labour productivity and purchasing power (Pereira & Andraz, 2007).

In order to carry out such investments within the constraints of the government budget, Portuguese authorities adopted a funding method based on Public–Private Partnerships (PPP) and “shadow tolls”, that is, tolls which are paid by the government instead of the user. Under this scheme, private companies are responsible for the design, construction, financing, operation and maintenance of the roads. In return, they are paid an amount that depends on the traffic volume, usually for a period of 30 years (Pereira & Andraz, 2012).

The Douro Interior Sub-Concession was awarded on 2008 to “AENOR – Douro Interior S.A.”, now “Ascendi Douro, Estradas do Douro Interior, S.A.” (Ascendi, 2015), and it was concluded in 2012 (Ascendi, 2015). The decision to build the infrastructure was supported by economic studies made in 2008: KPMG/VTM (2008) and Reis et al. (2008). Despite these studies, some political sectors expressed their skepticism about the benefits of the infrastructures whose construction was planned to start in 2008 and 2009, and criticised the costs that they would entail to the taxpayers.